



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,840	08/19/2003	David Guthrie	11389-032	4911	
20583	7590 08/20/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
JONES DAY			GECKIL, ME	GECKIL, MEHMET B	
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2142		
,			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004) h	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SC

** I			(
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	00			
	10/643,840	GUTHRIE, DAVID	V			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mehmet B. Geckil	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ecorrespondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this com NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	ugust 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
,—	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		•				
·· _						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		o Everninos				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accentification and accentification are also accentification. Applicant may not request that any objection to the continuous accentification.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		t 1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	•	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• • •					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ved in this National St	age			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		wod				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot fecel	veu.	A			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>081903</u> .		Date I Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
<u>·</u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/643,840

Art Unit: 2142

- 1. Claims 1-6 are presented for examination.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landis et al.
- 5. Landis et al (5,588,148) taught the invention substantially as claimed including an information system coupled to an internetwork for use by at least one user, the information system comprising:
- a) a client component resident on a computer of the user (102,104);
- b) a server (108) coupled to the computer and the internetwork, the server collecting information corresponding to a user's profile for delivery to the client component from the internet sites and the server; and
- c) a database storage unit (110) coupled to the server, the electronic information collected by the server used to populate or store at least one archive or database

Application/Control Number: 10/643,840

Art Unit: 2142

files or data sets stored in the database storage unit, the archive is associated with the user's profile (col 3, lines 52-67 and col 4, line 1 et seq.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at 6. the time of the invention that the claimed invention differed from the teachings of Landis et al only by a degree ,e.g., the claimed wording of predetermined customized profile. But this is no more than a difference in a degree because every profile is updated from time to time and updating means customization of the profile. Other claimed elements are all obvious variations of the well known features of the database, synchronization, version management and updating art, e.g., updating various versions of the client and server files for synchronizing is well known in the art for a long time. Some of the patents listed in PTO form 892 will verify this well known elements. Claims recite the server deleting electronic information that has been previously sent to the client and then sending the remaining information to the client in order to make a complete requested set in the client. This is an obvious variation of Landis et al determining which files constitute a complete data set, when a data set does not represent a complete data set, then the server determining whether to transmit a complete data set or some additional data to facilitate the information of a complete data set and sending the files accordingly (see col 4, lines 53-59.)

Application/Control Number: 10/643,840

Art Unit: 2142

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehmet Geckil whose telephone number is (703) 305-9676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 A.M. to 3:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Jack Harvey, can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are listed hereinbelow.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700. Customer service number is (703) 306-5631.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

8/17/04

MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Webst Gen U